

# Constraints Faced by Farmers in Commercial Cultivation of Vegetables in Samba district, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Vinod Gupta, Neerja Sharma, Saurav Gupta and Abhay Kumar Sinha

Krishi Vigyan Kendra Samba Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Jammu-180 001 India E-mail: gupta.ng1@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Vegetable farmers of district Samba are facing various constraints in vegetable production and therefore present study was conducted in three vegetable growing villages covering marginal, small, and big farmers (20 from each category totaling 60 in number). A pre tested interview schedule was prepared to collect the data and appropriate statistical procedure was employed to analyze the data for different constraints like social, organizational, technology transfer and economic. The mean score for all these constraints were higher among marginal farmers as compared to small and big farmers for vegetable production in Samba district. The study has confirmed that lack of proper follow up service, lack of location specific recommendations, lack of community awareness and lack of effective supervision are also contributing to low production. Thus there is a need to organize training programmes, proper demonstration of improved technologies, and introduction of post-harvest technologies to encourage the farmers for vegetable production so that the farmers become more economically independent. Based on these training needs, farmers, public and private organizations may organize various training cum awareness programmes.

Keywords: Vegetable production, Social, Economic, Organizational constraints, Technology transfer

Vegetables are important constituents of agriculture for attaining food and nutritional security has ability to generate on-farm and off-farm employment. An increase in availability, affordability and consumption of nutrient dense vegetables is one of the ways to prevent malnutrition. India is bestowed with huge diversity of vegetables and is the largest contributors (59.20%) of the total horticultural produce in the country in 2017-18 (Kumar et al 2017). India, with its wide variability of climate and soil, has good potential for growing a wide range of vegetable crops. Since the mid eighties, Government identified horticultural crops as a means of diversification for making agriculture more profitable through efficient land use, optimum utilization of natural resources and creating skilled employment for rural masses, especially women folk with the past efforts rewarding. Area under vegetable cultivation is continuously increasing, mainly due to higher productivity, shorter maturity cycle, high value and greater income leading to improved livelihoods. Production of vegetables is touching new records every year, making it the most favoured agricultural commodity by the farmers. Production during 2017-18 was recorded 184 million tons from 10.3 million hectares, whereas it was less than 20 million tons during independence. This manifold increase needs to be sustained to meet the demand of 1.5 billion people by 2030 (Horticultural Glance, 2018 and https://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite/six head product /FFV.htm). Even though the productivity level of our crops have increased still it will not be sufficient to feed the increasing population. By adopting improved techniques and high yielding varieties, production and productivity can be increased (Sahu et al 2009). In vegetable cultivation, a number of technologies have been developed, but farmers do not show keen interest in adopting this technology. So, to enhance the production and adoption of new farming technology it is imperative to know, why farmers are reluctant in adaptation of this technology. So, to know that what are the constraints faced by farmers in adoption of Modern practice of vegetable cultivation. This study was undertaken at district Samba. Samba has a longitude of 75.1108°E and latitude of 32.553°N and is situated on range of Shivalik hills alongside the bank of river. About two third of the area of Samba is Kandi & rainfed. The area on southern side downside the national highway is irrigated through Ravi Tawi irrigation canal network.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was under taken in three vegetable growing villages of Rajpura, Ghagwal and Nud Blocks of district during the year 2020-21. The block and villages were selected purposively where random sampling technique was followed to select the respondent. It was decided to draw samples from all categories of farmer's i.e., small (<0.5 ha),

marginal (0.5-0.9 ha) and large (>0.9 ha) farmers. The criteria of selection were based on the consideration that farmers were growing vegetables constantly and sell them to earn income. The farmers growing vegetables for commercial purpose were selected. A random technique was followed to select 20 vegetable growers from each village. Thus, a total of 60 vegetable growers were finally selected. For analysis of data responses were secured on 3-points scales fitting to the statements as very much (3) much (2) not so much (1). The results were calculated as mean score for each of the constraint (Sharma et al 2010).

Mean Score (MS) =  $\frac{\text{No. of VM} \times 3) + (\text{No. of M} \times 2) + (\text{No. of NM} \times 1)}{\text{Total No. of VM} + \text{M} + \text{NM}}$ 

The climate of the district being sub-tropical zone is hot and dry in summer and cold in winter and provides enough scope to grow a variety of vegetables in different parts of the district. Being in the foot hills of the mountains nights are bit cooler than that of neighbouring areas of Punjab the scope of vegetable export has also increased. The temperature ranges between 6 degree Celsius and 47 degree Celsius. The average annual rainfall of district Samba is 1100-1250 mm. A number of vegetable crops like knol-khol, peas, beans, tomato, brinjal, chilli, cauliflower, cabbage, onion, okra etc. are grown in the district. The farmers of the area are facing lot of constraints like, social, organizational, economic, technology transfer in cultivation of vegetables. Keeping this in view, the study was made related to constraints associated with vegetable cultivation and to overcome these constraints.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The constraints in vegetable production are many, diversified and differs from individual to individual depending upon their social status, family, requirement, family obligation, cultural background and economic position. For analysis of data the constraints were classified into four groups' namely social, organizational, technology transfer and economic.

Social constraints: The lack of awareness (2.30) followed

| Table 1 | <ol> <li>Social</li> </ol> | constraints in | 1 vegetable | production |
|---------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
|         |                            |                |             |            |

by Groupism in village, low adoption by neighbours, traditional norms and adverse socio-political system in the villages are the most important constraints which do not permit farmers to accept and adopt new technology in vegetable farming (Table 1). Mostly these constraints are being faced by marginal farmers of the area as compared to small and big farmers except co-ordination among farmers (2.50) which have observed more in the small farmers of the area. Similar findings were reported by Samantaray et al (2009).

**Organizational Constraints:** Focusing attention towards vegetable farming six important organizational constraints were observed (Table 2). Lack of effective supervision (2.48), irregular visit of extension workers (2.23), lack of timely technical advice (2.16), poor co-ordination among grass root level workers (2.16) was recorded in the marginal farmers while non-availability of production inputs timely (2.0) and low credibility of extension worker (2.06) were identified in both marginal and small farmers as the constraints in vegetable farming system. However, most of them are related to government actions that need to be stream lined to make vegetable farming profitable. These findings have been supported by Samantaray et al (2009) who have observed similar types of constraints being faced by the farmers of Orissa.

**Constraints in technology transfer**: The absence of proper post-harvest technology (2.36), followed by inadequate training programmes, lack of approach to demonstration, non-communication of location specific recommendations, inadequate follow up services for vegetable are the major constraints being faced by the marginal farmers as compared to small and big farmers of the area (Table 3). The other constraints were of low level like non-exposure to mass media lack of land consolidation etc. However, most of them are related to Government actions that need to be stream lined to make vegetable farming profitable. These findings were supported by Meena (2003) and Rai et al (2010).

Economic constraints: The eight economic constraints were identified which seemed to be barrier in increasing

| Social constraints                   |          | Mean score |       |          |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
|                                      | Marginal | Small      | Large | Marginal | Small | Large |
| Lack of community awareness          | 30       | 18         | 12    | 2.30     | 2.00  | 1.90  |
| Traditional norms of farmers         | 35       | 20         | 5     | 2.50     | 1.75  | 1.50  |
| Adverse socio-political interference | 28       | 24         | 8     | 2.33     | 1.93  | 1.73  |
| Low-adoption of by neighbours        | 32       | 20         | 8     | 2.40     | 1.80  | 1.80  |
| Lack of co-ordination of farmers     | 26       | 22         | 12    | 1.34     | 1.93  | 1.83  |
| Groupism                             | 29       | 20         | 11    | 2.30     | 1.85  | 1.85  |

production and productivity of vegetables. Poor marketing facility (2.33) is the most important constraint followed by poor economic status of the farmers (2.26), low risk bearing capacity (2.26), poor transport facility (2.20), absence of storage facility (2.12) and high cost of production (2.12) are being faced by the marginal farmers. The subsequent factors were non-availability of agriculture loan and complicated procedures to avail loan mentioned by the sample under study. Corroborative results have been given by Sharma et al (2008) and Samantaray et al (2009).

The constraints like lack of post harvest technologies, absence of storage facilities, inadequate training programme and inadequate demonstration of new technology are faced by the growers. The study has confirmed that lack of proper follow up service, lack of location specific recommendations, lack of community awareness and lack of effective supervision are also contributing to low production. Thus there is a need to organize training programmes, proper demonstration of improved technologies, and introduction of post harvest technologies to encourage the farmers for vegetable production so that the farmers become more economically independent. Moreover, it will improve nutritional status of the family. Based on these training needs, farmers, public and private organizations may organize various training cum awareness programmes.

| Table 2. Organizationa | I constraints in | vegetable | production |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|

| Organizational constraints                                 | Farmers  |       |       | Mean score |       |       |
|--|----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
|  | Marginal | Small | Large | Marginal   | Small | Large |
| Poor co-ordination and co-operation among extension worker | 22       | 26    | 12    | 2.16       | 2.06  | 1.76  |
| Low credibility of extension worker                        | 20       | 24    | 16    | 2.06       | 2.06  | 1.86  |
| Lack of timely advice and guidance by extension personnel  | 24       | 22    | 14    | 2.16       | 1.96  | 1.86  |
| Timely non availability of inputs for production           | 20       | 20    | 20    | 2.0        | 2.0   | 2.0   |
| Irregular visit of extension worker                        | 26       | 22    | 12    | 2.23       | 1.93  | 1.83  |
| Lack of effective supervision                              | 28       | 23    | 9     | 2.48       | 1.91  | 1.76  |

### Table 3. Constraints in transfer of technology to farmers

| Technology transfer  | _        | Farmers |       | Mean score |       |       |
|--|----------|---------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
|  | Marginal | Small   | Large | Marginal   | Small | Large |
| Inadequate training of farmers                               | 24       | 26      | 12    | 2.26       | 2.10  | 1.83  |
| Inadequate demonstration of new technology                   | 21       | 24      | 15    | 2.10       | 2.05  | 1.85  |
| Inadequate follow-up services                                | 23       | 23      | 14    | 2.15       | 2.00  | 1.85  |
| Lack of location specific recommendation                     | 24       | 22      | 14    | 2.16       | 1.96  | 1.86  |
| Lack of technical know-how                                   | 28       | 22      | 10    | 2.30       | 1.90  | 1.80  |
| Lack of soil testing facilities                              | 19       | 22      | 19    | 2.0        | 2.0   | 1.95  |
| Inadequate availability of mass media sources of information | 21       | 20      | 19    | 2.03       | 1.98  | 1.98  |
| Lack of land consolidation                                   | 18       | 22      | 20    | 1.96       | 2.06  | 1.96  |
| Lack of post-harvest technology                              | 28       | 26      | 6     | 2.36       | 1.96  | 1.66  |

#### Table 4. Economic constraints in increasing production

| Economic constraints                 | Farmers  |       |       |          | Mean score |       |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|----------|------------|-------|
|                                      | Marginal | Small | Large | Marginal | Small      | Large |
| High cost of technology              | 22       | 23    | 15    | 2.12     | 2.02       | 1.86  |
| Poor economic condition of farmers   | 28       | 26    | 6     | 2.26     | 1.96       | 1.66  |
| Non-availability of Argil. Credit    | 20       | 20    | 19    | 1.98     | 1.96       | 1.95  |
| Complicated procedure to avail loans | 20       | 20    | 19    | 1.98     | 1.96       | 1.95  |
| Low risk bearing capacity            | 28       | 26    | 6     | 2.26     | 1.96       | 1.66  |
| Poor transportation                  | 24       | 24    | 12    | 2.20     | 2.00       | 1.80  |
| Poor marketing facility              | 27       | 26    | 7     | 2.33     | 1.98       | 1.68  |
| Absence of storage facility          | 22       | 23    | 15    | 2.12     | 2.02       | 1.86  |

#### Vinod Gupta et al

#### REFERENCES

- Horticultural Statistic at Glance 2018. Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare Government of India
- Kumar R, Bhatia AK and Singh D 2017. Present status of vegetable production and their impact in human nutrition. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences* **9**(55): 4945-4949.
- Meena KC 2003. Constraints faced by the farmers in adoption of improved cultivation of cabbage in Udaipur district of Rajasthan. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* **3**(2): 69-71.
- Rai DP and Singh Bhupendra 2010. Extents of knowledge and constraints in cotton production technology in Madhya Pradesh.

Received 25 August, 2022; Accepted 15 September, 2022

Indian Research Journal of Extension Education **10**(2): 78-80.

- Sahu RP, Sachan VK, Singh Raman Jeet and Singh Khilendra 2009. Knowledge gap of farm women in vegetable cultivation. *Journal* of Communication Studies **27**(2): 83-87.
- Samantaray SK, Prusty S and Raj RK 2009. Constraints in vegetable production experiences of tribal vegetable growers. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* **9**(3): 32-34.
- Sharma Arun Kumar, D Uma Maheshwer Rao and Lakhan Singh. 2008. Achievement motivation in vegetable growers. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* **8**(1):79-82.
- Sharma Neerja, Arora RK and Sanjay Kher 2010. KVK trainings for the farmers in hilly areas of Poonch district a need of hour. *Journal of Hill Agriculture* **1**(2): 140-145.