

Indian Journal of Ecology (2024) 51(3): 547-551 DOI: https://doi.org/10.55362/IJE/2024/4271 Manuscript Number: 4271 NAAS Rating: 5.38

Crop Residue Recycling In Generating Nutrient Rich Organic Manures: Experiences from Rice Based Integrated Farming System

Sudha B., Amala Mary George and K.V. Athira

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, KAU, Vellayani-695 522, India E-mail: sudha.b@kau.in

Abstract: Two different experiments on crop residue recycling were carried out at the Integrated Farming System Research Station (IFSRS), Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala Agricultural University from 2018 to 2020. Paddy straw, the major crop residue from rice based cropping systems was utilised as resource base for both the experiments. Different paddy straw composts generated from the first experiment through vermicomposting, co - composting and microbial composting techniques were evaluated for use as potting media component in a pot culture trial with bhindi as test crop. Composts generated in the second experiment through co composting of paddy straw with cow dung and poultry manure in the ratio 8:1:1 and with goat manure and poultry manure in the ratio 4:1:1 (both on volume basis mixing of inputs) were rich in plant nutrients. These composts when substituted for the FYM component of potting media, recorded enhancement in the uptake of major nutrients, fruit yield and quality of okra. Use of these composts as ingredient of potting media recorded higher net returns and B: C ratio as well. In the second experiment, one month old partially withered paddy straw was bio recycled through co - composts generated were evaluated in field culture for organic nutrition of fodder maize var. *African Tall.* Co - composting of paddy straw with goat manure and poultry manure (4:1:1 ratio on volume basis) produced nutrient rich compost and on substituting this compost for chemical fertilisers on N equivalent basis, higher fodder yield, net returns and B : C ratio were recorded comparable with the integrated nutrient management package for fodder maize recorded comparable with the integrated nutrient management package for fodder maize recorded comparable with the integrated nutrient management package for fodder maize recorded comparable with the integrated nutrient management package for fodder maize recorded comparable with the integrated nutrient management package for fodder maize recorded comparable with the int

Keywords: Crop residues, Integrated farming system, Paddy straw, Goat manure, Poultry manure

Crop residues are the leftover organic materials after harvesting or processing of crops and are valuable carbon inputs which could be recycled to soil to improve soil health. India generates around 500-550 million tons of crop residues annually (GOI 2016). Integrated Farming Systems (IFS) which promote sequential/multiple cropping for efficient utilisation of land resources generate substantial quantities of crop residues. Organic materials including dung and droppings are generated in IFS by dairy and poultry components. All these could be effectively utilised in generating quality organic manures within the farms (Athira et al 2021)

Rice based farming systems are characterized with the production of large quantities of crop residues mainly paddy straw. Straw though finds use as fodder for milch animals and as base material for mushroom production, largely goes as waste as a result of improper storage and drying. Mostly in double cropped conditions, at least one harvest falls during wet season which limits the chances of spreading and drying of straw resulting in mouldy growth considerably reducing the feed value of straw, which is then discarded as bio waste in fields. However in the present scenario, with the increasing trend towards organic farming, recycling of all possible organic resources assumes greater importance. However, there are limitations in recycling paddy straw as such to soil due to bulky volume, slow degradation and short term negative effect of nitrogen immobilization (Hu et al 2016). Composting of paddy straw is an alternate option to recycle the nutrients contained in it. Based on the above, studies were conducted as two different experiments in rice based integrated farming system at the Integrated Farming System Research Station (IFSRS), Karamana, Kerala Agricultural University in recycling paddy straw for crop production. Different composting strategies were tried out in the first experiment to generate paddy straw composts which were then utilised as potting media component for container cultivation of vegetable crop okra. Co - composting of partially withered paddy straw with different organic resources were also attempted and the composts generated were used for organic nutrition of summer crop fodder maize raised in rice fallows.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiment I on bio recycling of paddy straw using different technologies was carried out at IFSRS during the period from March to August, 2018. Different treatments followed for composting of paddy straw (Table 1) and the composition of paddy straw (Table 2). The statistical design

followed was completely randomised design with eight treatments and three replications. One week old straw of medium duration rice variety *Uma* was used for composting. Paddy straw sprinkled with water and stalked overnight was mixed with cow dung, poultry manure or both in different ratios

Table 1.	Different	treatments	s on	paddy	straw	composting
	followed	in Experime	entl			

V	erm	icom	post	ing
---	-----	------	------	-----

T ₁ - Paddy straw + cowdur	ng (8:1) + earthworms
---------------------------------------	-----------------------

 T_2 - Paddy straw + cowdung (6:1) + earthworms

T₃-Paddy straw + cowdung (4:1) + earthworms

Co-composting

 T_4 - Paddy straw + poultry manure (8:1)

 T_5 - Paddy straw + poultry manure (6:1)

 T_6 - Paddy straw + poultry manure (4:1)

T₇ - Paddy straw + cowdung + poultry manure (8:1:1)

Microbial composting

 $\rm T_{s}$ - Paddy straw + Urea + Pleurotus (For 100 kg straw, 500 g urea and 150 g Pleurotus)

Table 2. Composition of paddy straw used in Experiment I and II

Parameters	Expt. I	Expt. II
Lignin (%)	26	19
Cellulose (%)	54	30
Major nutrients (%) N P K	0.68 0.12 1.35	0.22 0.15 1.38
Micro and beneficial nutrients (mg kg ⁻¹) Fe Cu Mn Zn B	544.2 23.88 144.19 36.31 3.24	262.75 27.03 637.5 31.05 4,24
C: N ratio	60:1	39:1

Tal	ble	3.	Nι	itrient	content	of	different	compost	s in	Experii	ment	I
-----	-----	----	----	---------	---------	----	-----------	---------	------	---------	------	---

on volume basis for co-composting and was filled in concrete pits of size 1 m³. For vermicomposting treatments, earthworms (*Eudrillus euginea*) were introduced @ 1000 nos per 1 m³ pit after 10 days of initial degradation when the heat in composting piles was reduced. Sufficient moisture levels were maintained in the composting material by periodic sprinkling of water. The materials were turned twice a week to ensure aeration and uniform decomposition. Mushroom species *Pleurotus sajor-caju* was utilised for enhancing decomposition of paddy straw in microbial composting. The nutrient content of the different composts are detailed in Table 3.

Pot culture study was conducted to evaluate the different composts with KAU hybrid okra var. Manjima as the test crop. These composts were used as component of potting media (Soil, rock sand, coir pith compost and paddy straw compost well mixed in the ratio 1: 0.5: 0.5: 1 on volume basis and filled @ 13 kg media per grow bag. For the control treatment, FYM was used instead of paddy straw compost in the same proportion. In treatments T_1 to T_8 , the 8 different composts generated were substituted for the FYM portion of potting media. In T₉, conventional potting media i.e., soil, sand and FYM (1:1:1 on volume basis) was used. The crop was nourished as per the recommendations of KAU Package of Practices (KAU, 2016). Basal organic manure was supplied through FYM @ 130 g per plant. The basal dose of chemical fertilizers was supplied as urea, rock phosphate and muriate of potash @ 0.78, 1.26 and 0.76 g per plant, respectively. Top dressing with 0.78 g of urea per plant was done one month after planting. Data on the nutrient uptake, yield, quality and economics of okra as influenced by different potting media.

The different treatments followed in Experiment II are given in Table 6. Partially degraded, one month old straw of medium duration rice variety *Uma* was made used in the experiment II. The composition of the straw and the nutrient status of composts were estimated (Table 2, 6). The

Treatments	Major nutrients (%)			Micro nutrients (mg kg ⁻¹)					
	Ν	Р	К	Fe	Cu	Mn	Zn	В	
T ₁	1.83	0.48	2.18	1189.67	28.15	158.57	174.27	12.92	
T ₂	1.90	0.51	2.22	1244.33	33.72	159.93	186.10	13.16	
T ₃	2.12	0.58	2.58	2001.33	37.80	162.03	187.77	13.50	
T ₄	2.06	0.63	2.70	2251.33	27.32	170.30	180.23	14.61	
T ₅	2.22	0.79	2.78	2998.67	32.30	184.07	191.83	16.55	
T ₆	2.67	0.93	3.15	3586.67	34.21	187.60	217.37	19.74	
Τ,	2.40	0.85	3.03	2358.67	28.45	190.57	170.90	17.90	
T ₈	1.57	0.27	1.71	1084.33	26.61	154.80	163.20	11.28	
CD (p = 0.05)	0.317	0.129	0.591	619.975	-	-	-	2.749	

composts generated were used in organic nutrition of fodder crop maize var. *African Tall* raised in summer rice fallows. The field experiment was laid out in randomised block design with eight treatments and three replications. In treatments T_1 to T_7 , organic crop nutrition was followed using composts 1 to 7 respectively. T_8 followed an integrated nutrient managementpackage as per KAU recommendation. FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ was applied as basal organic dose uniformly for all the treatments. For treatments 1 to 7, organic crop nutrition was followed and the respective composts were applied on N equivalent basis to substitute inorganic N requirement avoiding chemical fertilizers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiment I

Nutrient content of composts: Treatment T₆ had the highest content of major and micronutrients followed by T_z (Table 3). The micronutrient content of different composts were statistically similar except for Fe and B. Treatment T_e recorded higher contents of both Fe and B. Higher N content of T₆ could be related with the higher N content of poultry manure (2.3%) used for composting, compared to cow dung (1.21%). Furthermore, the improved N content of poultry manure might have accelerated the growth of nitrifying bacteria, contributing to increased level of N in final compost. Abdelhamid et al. (2004) observed that as the quantity of poultry manure added to the initial material increases, total N content of paddy straw compost also increases. Poultry manure used for the experiment was rich in P (1.4 %) over cow dung (0.4 %) and hence the composts produced using poultry manure as an ingredient naturally had higher P content. Similarly, the higher K content registered in T₆ and T₇ could be due to the increased amount of K supplied by poultry manure (1.35%) compared to cow dung (0.6%).

Nutrient uptake and crop yield of okra: Nutrient uptake (Table 4) was high for T_6 and T_7 which could be attributed to the nutrient richness of these composts (Table 3) and hence more availability for uptake. Crop yields were also higher for these two treatments attributing to higher nutrient uptake which lead to improved crop nutrition.

Quality parameters of okra: Higher and comparable crude protein content were recorded by treatments T_6 and T_7 (Table 5). The crude fibre ranged from 1 to 17.90 per cent in okra fruits grown in different media. T_7 recorded lower crude fibre content. Vitamin C content ranged from 10.53 to 21.05 mg 100 g⁻¹ and T_7 recorded higher content of vitamin C. The enhancement in quality parameters could be well related with better crop nourishment. Singh *et al.* (2020) reported better quality aspects in okra with an integrated nutrient supply which well nourished the crop.

Economics of cultivation: Net return was higher and comparable for treatments T_7 (Rs.7.04 bag⁻¹) and T_6 (Rs. 6.01 bag⁻¹) (Table 6). Lowest net return was for T_1 (Rs. -19.99 bag⁻¹), wherein paddy straw vermicomposted with cow dung in the ratio 8:1 (volume basis) was used as the potting media component. B: C ratio followed the same trend as net return. The treatment T_7 had the highest BCR of 1.23, comparable to T_6 (1.20). The lowest BCR of 0.51 was for T_1 .

Experiment II

Nutrient content of composts: Nutrient status of different composts generated by different co-composting methods are given (Table 8). Co-composting methods using, different organic manures as nitrogen source could significantly influence the total N, P and K content of rice straw composts. Rice straw co - composted with goat manure and poultry manure in the ratio 4:1:1 on volume basis (T₆) had higher N (3.30%), P (0.98%) and K (3.22%) contents. Treatments T₄, T₅ and T₇ recorded comparable values and closely followed T₆

Table 4. Nutrient uptake and yield of bhindi as influenced by different potting media

,		, ,	0			
Nutri	ent uptake (kg per	plant)	Fruit yield	Number of fruits	Average weight of	
Ν	Р	к	- (kg per plant)	perplant	fruit per plant (g)	
1.17	0.14	1.61	0.345	17.33	22.93	
1.56	0.28	2.13	0.482	23.00	23.78	
1.74	0.31	2.36	0.508	23.67	24.21	
2.11	0.32	2.45	0.515	25.67	24.71	
2.52	0.33	2.50	0.534	26.00	25.21	
2.73	0.42	2.96	0.590	26.67	26.26	
2.92	0.48	2.88	0.619	27.67	27.05	
1.24	0.15	1.91	0.382	19.00	22.59	
1.28	0.23	1.81	0.361	18.33	21.07	
0.350	0.124	0.353	0.0390	3.734	2.272	
	Nutrie N 1.17 1.56 1.74 2.11 2.52 2.73 2.92 1.24 1.28 0.350	Nutrient uptake (kg per N P 1.17 0.14 1.56 0.28 1.74 0.31 2.11 0.32 2.52 0.33 2.73 0.42 2.92 0.48 1.24 0.15 1.28 0.23 0.350 0.124	Nutrient uptake (kg per plant) N P K 1.17 0.14 1.61 1.56 0.28 2.13 1.74 0.31 2.36 2.11 0.32 2.45 2.52 0.33 2.50 2.73 0.42 2.96 2.92 0.48 2.88 1.24 0.15 1.91 1.28 0.23 1.81 0.350 0.124 0.353	Nutrient uptake (kg per plant) Fruit yield (kg per plant) N P K 1.17 0.14 1.61 0.345 1.56 0.28 2.13 0.482 1.74 0.31 2.36 0.508 2.11 0.32 2.45 0.515 2.52 0.33 2.50 0.534 2.73 0.42 2.96 0.590 2.92 0.48 2.88 0.619 1.24 0.15 1.91 0.382 1.28 0.23 1.81 0.361 0.350 0.124 0.353 0.0390	Nutrient uptake (kg per plant) Fruit yield (kg per plant) Number of fruits per plant 1.17 0.14 1.61 0.345 17.33 1.56 0.28 2.13 0.482 23.00 1.74 0.31 2.36 0.508 23.67 2.11 0.32 2.45 0.515 25.67 2.52 0.33 2.50 0.534 26.00 2.73 0.42 2.96 0.590 26.67 2.92 0.48 2.88 0.619 27.67 1.24 0.15 1.91 0.382 19.00 1.28 0.23 1.81 0.361 18.33 0.350 0.124 0.353 0.0390 3.734	

with regard to content of major nutrients. Co-composting methods had a significant influence on the content of Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn and B micronutrients. Treatment T_6 (rice straw co-composted with goat manure and poultry manure in the ratio 4:1:1 on volume basis) recorded significantly higher contents of Fe (3201.66 mg kg⁻¹), Cu (45.58 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (1096.17 mg kg⁻¹, Zn (280.40 mg kg⁻¹) and B (19.97 mg kg⁻¹). T_8 (natural composting of rice straw) had lower content of micronutrients

 Table 5. Quality parameters of bhindi fruit as influenced by different potting media

Treatments	Crude protein (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg 100 g^{-1})
T ₁	11.55	17.90	10.53
T ₂	12.72	16.70	12.28
T ₃	13.65	15.23	14.04
T ₄	17.62	15.47	12.28
T ₅	17.97	14.93	17.54
T ₆	19.25	14.37	19.30
Τ,	21.12	11.10	21.05
T ₈	12.25	16.7	12.28
T,	12.37	19.3	10.53
CD (p = 0.05)	1.622	0.978	4.286

Table 6. Di Treatment	fferent treatments followed in Experiment II Co- composting techniques (Volume basis)
T ₁	Rice straw + cow dung (4:1)
T ₂	Rice straw + goat manure (4:1)
T ₃	Rice straw + poultry manure (4:1)
Τ ₄	Rice straw + cow dung + goat manure (4:1:1)
T ₅	Rice straw + cow dung + poultry manure (4:1:1)
T ₆	Rice straw + goat manure + poultry manure (4:1:1)
T ₇	Rice straw + cow dung + glyricidia leaves (4:1:1)
T ₈	Natural composting (Rice straw alone as control)

and was comparable to T₁ and T₂ mostly.

Nutrient uptake and crop yield: The nutrient uptake data are given in. Uptake of N was higher (179.25 kg ha⁻¹) for the INM treatment T₈(basal FYM + soil test based application of chemical fertilizers) and was on par with T₆ (rice straw cocomposted with goat manure and poultry manure in the ratio 4:1:1 as nutrient source) (Table 9). The lower N uptake was with T_1 (126.83kg ha⁻¹) comparable to T_3 and T_2 . T_8 registered higher P uptake of 21.28 kg ha⁻¹ which was on par with treatment T₆. Higher uptake of K (198.04 kg ha⁻¹) was for T₈ and comparable to T₆. The results suggest the comparable performance of organic nutrition using paddy straw compost Quality parameters of test crop: Among different treatments, T₈ (KAU POP recommendation combining organic and inorganic nutrients) recorded a higher crude protein content (8.26 per cent) and was comparable to T_e and T_{4} followed by T_{5} *i.e.* plants nourished under organic nutrition using rice straw composts. The treatments T_1 , T_3 , T_2 and T_7 recorded lower and comparable crude protein content.

 Table 7. Economics of bhindi and fodder maize as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	Net returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B : C ratio	Net returns (Rs ha⁻¹)	B : C ratio
T,	-19.99	0.51	4320	1.03
T ₂	-9.10	0.76	12349	1.09
Τ ₃	-4.72	0.87	10823	1.08
T ₄	0.58	1.01	20510	1.14
T ₅	2.51	1.09	21246	1.15
T ₆	6.01	1.20	27661	1.20
T ₇	7.04	1.23	20665	1.15
T ₈	-15.92	0.59	39814	1.31
T ₉	-7.32	0.75	513.43	0.02
CD (p = 0.05)	2.394	0.073	4320	1.03

Table 8. Nutrient status of composts generated from Experiment II

Treatments	Ma	ajor nutrients (%)		Mici	ro nutrients (mg	kg ⁻¹)	
	N	Р	К	Fe	Cu	Mn	Zn	В
T,	2.59	0.55	2.39	1239.83	18.17	447.08	148.47	14.10
T ₂	2.88	0.73	2.60	1299.50	19.62	645.73	161.50	16.83
T ₃	2.78	0.79	2.45	1875.17	22.52	834.17	177.40	17.63
T ₄	3.04	0.89	2.94	3031.83	31.90	908.75	244.85	17.93
T ₅	2.99	0.93	2.86	3187.00	35.25	1053.75	244.67	18.77
T ₆	3.30	0.98	3.22	3201.66	45.58	1096.17	280.40	19.97
T ₇	2.93	0.82	3.00	1788.33	24.48	900.00	211.85	17.53
T ₈	1.2	0.35	1.95	807.16	12.65	413.75	43.10	12.97
CD (p = 0.05)	0.230	0.090	0.160	778.873	8.452	177.160	71.190	0.904

Treatments	Nu	trient uptake (kg per	ha)	Green fodder yield	Crude protein (%)
	Ν	Р	К	(tha')	
T ₁	126.83	11.99	142.17	29.89	7.94
T ₂	130.85	13.14	145.32	31.05	8.08
T ₃	128.45	12.37	143.48	29.94	8.00
T ₄	171.29	18.78	190.56	32.67	8.17
T ₅	169.29	17.38	189.26	32.23	8.11
T ₆	174.34	20.41	195.19	33.11	8.19
T ₇	142.75	15.46	163.68	32.20	8.08
T ₈	179.25	21.28	198.04	33.56	8.26
CD (p = 0.05)	5.160	1.153	6.346	2.030	7.94

Table 9. Nutrient uptake, yield and quality of fodder maize as influenced by different composts

Economics of cultivation: The net returns and B: C ratio of okra cultivation are presented in Table 6. Net return was highest for treatment T_8 and T_6 (Table 6). This could be attributed to the higher yield obtained under these treatments. The lowest net returns were in T_1 (Rs. 4320 ha⁻¹), where rice straw co-composted with cow dung in the ratio 4:1 was used as organic manure for fodder maize. The treatment T_8 registered the highest BCR of 1.31, followed by T_6 . Lower BCR of 1.03 was in T_1 comparable to T_3 .

CONCLUSION

Co-composting of paddy straw with poultry manure in the ratio 4:1 or with cow dung and poultry manure in the ratio 8:1:1 were the best methods for production of nutrient rich compost with high recovery in a short period, compared to vermicomposting and microbial composting. Substituting the above composts for FYM in potting medium could result in better growth, yield attributes, yield and net income of bhindi grown in pot culture. With respect to the quality parameters of okra, paddy straw co - composted with cow dung and poultry manure in the ratio 8:1:1(volume basis) was superior. Co - composting in the ratio 4:1:1 (volume basis) also generated composts rich in plant nutrients . When utilized for organic crop nutrition of fodder maize African Tall, these composts could result in comparable yield and economics as that obtained with the integrated nutrient management package

Received 30 November, 2023; Accepted 11 May, 2024

recommended by KAU. Thus the superiority of paddy straw composts both as component of potting media and as quality organic manure were established.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, for providing financial assistance and other facilities in undertaking the studies.

REFERENCES

- Abdelhamid MT, Horiuchi T and Oba S 2004. Composting of rice straw with oilseed rape cake and poultry manure and its effects on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) growth and soil properties. *Bioresource Technology* **93**: 183-189.
- Athira KV, Sudha B, Jacob John, Shalini Pillai P and Manju RV 2021. Generation of paddy straw composts in rice based integrated farming system and evaluating in organic production of fodder maize. *Indian Journal of Ecology* 48(6): 1772-1779.
- Government of India 2016. *Annual Report 2016*. Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, New Delhi [on-line]. Available: http://mnre. gov. in. erewise.com/current affairs/biomass -resources [03 March 2019].
- Hu S, Gu J, Jiang F and Hsieh YL 2016. Holistic rice straw nanocellulose and hemicelluloses/lignin composite films. *Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **4**(3): 728-737.
- KAU (Kerala Agricultural University). 2016. Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops (15th Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 393p
- Singh A, Prasad VM, Srivastava R and Bahadur V 2020. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench) cv. Kashi Pragati. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 9(2): 1978-1984.